United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/773,914	02/02/2001	Takeshi Saito	202762US2RD 8114		
22850	7590 05/09/2006		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			DURAN, ARTHUR D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622		
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/773,914	SAITO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Arthur Duran	3622		

<i></i>	Examino.	Alt Ollic					
	Arthur Duran	3622					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS	within the time period sectoral in o	1 Of IC 41.57 (a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	a					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re-	aucing or simplifying	ine issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	•	•	-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-39</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:				
12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1440) Paper N	(a)					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
	Staller	'lı					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Applicant has amended the independent claims after-final.